

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/047,710	CHAKRABARTY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D. (3) _____.

(2) Dr. John Murray. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

12-30-04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was told that the new base claim 40, and the dependent claims 41-48 do not belong to the elected invention, which comprises method of administering to a patient as the active step. Dr. Murray wanted to receive another restriction instead of agreeing for claims 41-48 being withdrawn from examination on merits.